## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1815V

(not to be published)

SUZANNE DEGEORGE,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 27, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorney's Fees and Costs

John Richard Taylor, Zaytoun Law Firm, Raleigh, NC, for Petitioner.

Amanda Pasciuto, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

## DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

On November 27, 2018, Suzanne DeGeorge filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration as a result of her October 6, 2017, influenza vaccination. (Petition at 1). On August 24, 2021, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 60).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated February 22, 2022 (ECF No. 66), requesting an award of \$22,551.76 (representing \$21,878.50 in fees and \$673.26 in costs). Additionally, Petitioner requests costs incurred by Dr. Laura Seth, in the amount of \$466.00. (*Id.* at 3). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 69). Respondent reacted to the motion on February 28, 2022, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded at the Court's discretion. (ECF No. 67). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of \$23,017.76 (representing \$21,878.50 in fees, \$673.26 in costs incurred by counsel and \$466.00 in fees incurred by Dr. Laura Seth)<sup>3</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>4</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Brian H. Corcoran</u> Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Counsel requests that payment will be made directly to Dr. Seth or to Petitioner's counsel who will forward payment to Dr. Seth. (ECF No. 66 at 4). This portion will be included in the overall award jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. Petitioner's counsel will then forward the amount designated for Dr. Seth's work as Petitioner's counsel indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.